



Welcoming Communities Assessment: Springfield, Minnesota



In partnership with:



Welcoming Communities Assessment – Springfield, Minnesota

January 27, 2020

Authored by:

Scott Chazdon, Ph.D., Evaluation and Research Specialist Extension Center for Community Vitality

Edited by:

Elyse Paxton, Extension Center for Community Vitality

Partners/Sponsors:

Kristian Braekkan, Region 9 Development Commission
Julie Hawker, Region 9 Development Commission
Bukata Hayes, Greater Mankato Diversity Council
Kyle Hoffman, Region 9 Development Commission
Neil Linscheid, Regional Extension Educator
Tobias Spanier, Regional Extension Educator



Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| | |
|---|-----------|
| BACKGROUND | 1 |
| THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS | 2 |
| Table 1. Completed assessments by community and sector | 3 |
| Table 2. Demographics of assessment participants | 3 |
| RESULTS..... | 4 |
| Awareness..... | 4 |
| Table 3. Focus group comments about awareness of inclusion | 4 |
| Figure 1. Awareness of the importance of inclusion | 6 |
| Table 4. Survey comments about awareness | 6 |
| Engagement | 7 |
| Table 5. Focus group comments about engagement | 7 |
| Figure 2. Engagement of diverse groups | 8 |
| Table 6. Survey comments about engagement | 9 |
| Resources..... | 9 |
| Table 7. Focus group comments about resources | 9 |
| Figure 3. Resources to address inclusion | 11 |
| Table 8. Survey comments about resources | 12 |
| Leadership energy | 12 |
| Table 9. Focus group comments about leadership energy | 12 |
| Figure 4. Leadership energy to promote inclusion | 14 |
| Table 10. Survey comments about leadership energy | 14 |
| Sharing power | 14 |
| Table 11. Focus group comments about sharing power | 15 |
| Figure 5. Sharing power in planning and decision making | 16 |
| Table 12. Survey comments about sharing power | 16 |
| Policies and practices..... | 17 |
| Table 13. Focus group comments about policies and practices | 17 |
| Figure 6. Policies and practices to promote inclusion | 19 |
| Table 14. Survey comments about policies and practices | 19 |
| DISCUSSION | 19 |
| Table 15. Inclusion progress for each sector and dimension | 20 |
| Figure 7. Comparison of responses from white respondents and respondents of color | 21 |
| WORKING DEFINITIONS OF TERMS | 21 |



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In November 2019, participants from New Ulm, Sleepy Eye, and Springfield, Minnesota participated in the Welcoming Communities Assessment to document community strengths and weaknesses related to diversity and inclusion efforts. We defined inclusion as efforts to unite people and remove barriers to equal opportunity and responsibility in community and life. While our focus for this project was on inclusion based on race or immigrant status, we did not exclusively focus on race, because race intersects with other ways of excluding based on gender, disability status, income/class, religion, or sexual preference/identity. Each assessment examined six aspects of inclusion in seven sectors of community life. Springfield residents completed 78 assessments. Some participants may have completed assessments for more than one sector.

The table below provides an overview of Springfield’s reported progress across sectors and dimensions of inclusion based on the numeric responses from the assessment survey. The following report also includes responses from focus group discussions and open-ended questions included in the assessment survey. Green cells in the table indicate dimensions in which the sector is making moderate progress on inclusion. Light green and yellow cells indicate slight to moderate progress, and the red cells indicate no to slight progress.

The table shows the progress made in each dimension of inclusion for a specific sector of the community. For example, the health care system, non-profit organizations and religious organizations appear to be on the leading edge of inclusion efforts in Springfield, but have made less progress in developing policies and practices.

Additionally, the table provides insight into which sectors of the community are leading or lagging in particular dimensions of inclusion. While all sectors of the community are at least slightly aware of the importance of inclusion, engagement is inconsistent, and fewer of the sectors have changed policies and practices to promote inclusion in a systematic manner.

Inclusion progress for each sector and dimension

| | Awareness | Engagement | Resources | Leadership energy | Sharing power | Policies and practices |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Health care system | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Non-profit organizations | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
| Religious organizations | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| School system | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Law enforcement | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Business or Chamber | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Local government | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 |

Legend:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Moderate progress (3.0 or higher) | Slight to moderate progress (2.5 to 2.9) | Slight progress (2.0 to 2.4) | No to slight progress (1.9 or lower) |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|



BACKGROUND

The Welcoming Communities Project is a diversity and inclusion initiative led by the Region Nine Development Commission, the Greater Mankato Diversity Council, and the Extension Center for Community Vitality, with funding from the Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota Foundation. The Project grew out of an earlier initiative known as the Rural Equity Learning Community, in which five southern Minnesota communities participated in a regional cohort program to share, examine and explore inclusive best practices through education and relationship-building. The intent of the initiative was to facilitate capacity for local community action. Stakeholders convened to explore a shared interest in cultivating a more welcoming and inclusive community. Participants included: school district leaders, teachers and school board members, elected officials, city leaders and council members, business owners, public health and clinic staff, immigrant and refugee leaders, service providers, library services, parents, chamber representatives, faith community leaders, retired community members, and others.

In spring 2019, several participants from the Rural Equity Learning Community conducted further work with the Extension Center for Community Vitality to develop a user-friendly ‘community readiness assessment’ geared toward rural and small communities to prioritize the dimensions of readiness most crucial for rural equity and inclusion efforts. Community readiness is defined as the degree to which a community is ready to take action on an issue. An important goal of the readiness assessment was user-friendliness. A desire existed among the workgroup to create a process that would quickly and efficiently provide constructive information about inclusion while being respectful of community members’ busy lives.

We defined inclusion as efforts to unite people and remove barriers to equal opportunity and responsibility in community and life. While our focus for this project was on inclusion based on race or immigrant status, we did not exclusively focus on race, because race intersects with other ways of excluding based on gender, disability status, income/class, religion, or sexual preference/identity.

After a review of several examples of community readiness assessments, the workgroup created one assessment that focused on six dimensions of inclusion:

1. Awareness of the importance of inclusion
2. Engagement of diverse groups in community activities
3. Resources to address inclusion
4. Leadership energy to promote inclusion
5. Sharing power in planning and decision-making
6. Policies and practices that promote inclusion



An innovative aspect of the assessment is its focus on the following seven sectors of community life:

1. The school system
2. The health care system
3. Law enforcement
4. Non-profit organizations
5. Business community
6. Local government
7. Religious organizations

A deeper understanding of diversity, equity, and inclusion in each of these sectors provides actionable information regarding which dimensions and sectors are leading — or lagging — in their adaptation to change. Lessons learned in one sector can be applied to other sectors, but only if there is knowledge and intention to do so.

THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

The community readiness assessment, renamed the welcoming communities assessment, was conducted as a focus group discussion process. During a two-hour session, held on November 5, 2019 in Sleepy Eye, Minnesota, participants from three communities — New Ulm, Sleepy Eye, and Springfield — were provided with an overview of the evening and then split into seven discussion groups based on the seven sectors of the community.

Each group then went through the assessment process, which took about an hour. It included open-ended discussion questions, as well as survey questions that participants were asked to complete in a booklet format. The booklets were customized for each of the seven sectors. While participants in each focus group represented each of the three communities, the survey booklet captured information only about the individual respondent's community.

Sixty-five participants from the three communities completed the assessment on November 5. Between November 5 - January 10, other community members were invited to complete the assessments online. A total number of 223 assessments were completed, with 78 completed for Springfield (some participants may have completed assessments for more than one sector). Table 1 shows the number of completed assessments by community and sector.

Table 1. Completed assessments by community and sector

| Sector | All | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| | communities | New Ulm | Sleepy Eye | Springfield |
| School system | 82 | 21 | 34 | 27 |
| Health care | 29 | 6 | 7 | 16 |
| Law enforcement | 24 | 5 | 11 | 8 |
| Local government | 20 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| Non-profit organizations | 22 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| Religious organizations | 23 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| Business community | 23 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| Total | 223 | 66 | 79 | 78 |

Table 2 displays the demographic breakdown of those who completed assessment surveys. Individuals may have completed surveys for more than one sector. Each community's response had a much higher proportion of women than men. The racial/ethnic backgrounds of survey respondents were mostly in line with the overall proportions of each racial/ethnic group in each community. For example, as of 2017, the American Community Survey estimated that about three percent of Springfield's population was Hispanic or Latino, while about two percent of the assessments respondents identified as Hispanic or Latino. In terms of length of residence, respondents tended to be long-term residents. The average length of residence for Springfield respondents was 31 years.

Table 2. Demographics of assessment participants

| | New Ulm | Sleepy Eye | Springfield |
|---|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 30% | 28% | 25% |
| Female | 69% | 70% | 74% |
| Race/ethnicity (people could mark all that apply, percents in parenthesis are population comparisons from the 2017 American Community Survey) | | | |
| American Indian | 0% (<1%) | 0% (<1%) | 5% (1%) |
| Asian American | 2% (1%) | 2% (<1%) | 0% (1%) |
| Black or African American | 6% (<1%) | 0% (<1%) | 0% (<1%) |
| Hispanic or Latino | 0% (4%) | 14% (12%) | 2% (3%) |
| White | 93% (96%) | 84% (91%) | 98% (96%) |
| Average length of residence (years) | 20 | 27 | 31 |



RESULTS

The findings below are organized according to the six dimensions of inclusion, with comparisons among the seven sectors of the community for each dimension. Each of the dimensions of inclusion was measured with three questions using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent. Both the focus group discussions and survey included an option for people to describe their community's progress regarding each dimension. Some of these open-ended comments are included next to each dimension.

Awareness

The group discussion question about awareness asked about the ways each sector has shown awareness of the importance of inclusion, and looking forward, what more each sector can do to increase its awareness of inclusion.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 3. Focus group comments about awareness of inclusion

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need more teacher training about inclusion, including training for working with children with disabilities.• To promote awareness, people need to experience what it is like being excluded.• Have more everyday conversations about inclusion, and engage young people.• Add a student commissioner on New Ulm Human Rights Commission.• Get high schoolers engaged with the EDA — Northfield has high school students on all types of community boards.• Have to get inclusion efforts outside the walls of the school. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rules and regulations re: inclusion, but are they effective?• Need to diversify workforce.• Public health has a strong focus on racial equity, but when government leads things it doesn't always go well — government can convene but not necessarily lead. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• LGBT people have not been able to find a church home.• Catholic churches tend to be more inclusive. |
| Law enforcement | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Law enforcement needs to communicate to new residents about when it's appropriate to argue being pulled over.• Law enforcement officers encounter people in less than ideal situations. |

| | |
|------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coffee with Cops program in New Ulm is a good model. If a person calls and requests it, a police officer will bring coffee to their location and visit with them. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to diversify workforce in local government • The New Ulm Human Rights Commission was mentioned several times as a reliable and helpful partner in expanding engagement with new groups and people in New Ulm • Job retention — work with business owners • More everyday conversations about inclusion, and need to engage young people • Add student commissioner on New Ulm Human Rights Commission • Get high schoolers engaged with the EDA — Northfield has high school students on all types of community boards • Have to get inclusion efforts outside the walls of the school |

The assessment survey had three statements to measure awareness:

- [Sector] is aware of the need for inclusion efforts.
- [Sector] provides opportunities for training about new cultures.
- There are opportunities in [sector] for long-term and new residents to come together and learn from each other.

Participants responded to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 1 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. Four of the sectors - health care, non-profit organizations, religious organizations and the schools -- reported a moderate awareness of inclusion efforts with average responses around 3. There was a slightly lower level of awareness reported for law enforcement, business and local government.



Figure 1. Awareness of the importance of inclusion

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 4 below lists comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about awareness in each sector of the community.

Table 4. Survey comments about awareness

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Health care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We would welcome more diversity. • Health care is highly regulated — inclusion is one of them. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I feel as though religious organizations are aware of the need of inclusion efforts. But I feel as though they purposefully work to exclude certain types of individuals (non-Christians, LGBTQ, etc.). |
| Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As a person who wasn't "born and raised," our family was welcomed into the community with open arms. That said, We are also white, of German heritage, and Christian. And I have seen how families who are not (those things) struggle to assimilate and feel included in the community. • Not many new cultures in Springfield. |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intentional inclusion (age, gender, race, social-economic). • Accurate communication (to prevent rumor-mill). • Make individuals comfortable, accessible (make it easier). • Need to be more sensitive to ethnic dietary needs. • Intentional for board members. |

Engagement

The group discussion question on engagement asked about the ways each sector most successfully engages diverse groups in community activities, how each sector addresses barriers to participation in activities (barriers such as language, child care, transportation, meeting times), and looking forward, what each sector can do to promote participation.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 5. Focus group comments about engagement

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use United Way funds to pay activities fees. • Developed Booster Club. • Get information at orientation. • Early Childhood provides programs little or no fee, provides daycare. • Liaison in Sleepy Eye is helpful. • More people need to walk alongside to mentor folks through the process. • Staff need to be educated on what other services and resources are available. • Paid position as school/community liaison. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Constantly working on engagement, but financial challenges, etc. • Better at being reactive, not proactive. |
| Business community | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharing information on community issues. • Have businesses partner to work on better inclusion. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MLC college trying hard -- MOU with inner city schools for services and cross-cultural learning community. MLK day for that purpose, workshops, sessions. • Trouble with retention because of a lack of amenities for people of color. • People are afraid to start a business. • Comfrey home schooled families in town. Grocery store has Hispanic section. • Deliver meals through church, Spanish to English classes and lunch to practice English. |
| Law enforcement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coffee with Cops is a program that's been going on in New Ulm. If a person calls and requests it, a police officer will bring coffee to their location and visit with them. Sleepy Eye was interested in doing something similar. |



| | |
|------------------|--|
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boards and commissions need to reach out to find people from different populations. • Advertise more and better. • When doing surveys, go out to low income parts of town, offer meals at convenings, be more intentional. • Tendency to things the way they've always been done. It takes less effort. • How are we identifying leadership ability? We need diverse representation on boards. |
|------------------|--|

The assessment survey had three statements to measure engagement:

- Community members, including immigrants and people of color, participate in broader [sector] activities.
- [Sector] leaders actively seek information about the needs of immigrants or people of color in the community.
- [Sector] uses a wide range of methods to inform everyone about activities.

Participants responded to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 2 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. The strongest reported engagement with diverse groups was in the school system, the least reported engagement in law enforcement, local government, and business.

Figure 2. Engagement of diverse groups

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 6 lists the comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about engagement in each sector of the community.

Table 6. Survey comments about engagement

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Health care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited culturally diverse people in Springfield. • There are not many leaders or board members in my town that are not White. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The whitest county in Minnesota is named "Brown." |
| Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I wanted to say "not at all" to the first two questions, but I am sure at least a little bit of work is being done in that regard that I might be unaware of. • Transportation/childcare are concerns. Handicap accessible in older building/business problems. |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask individuals if there is a better meeting time or location. Provide subsidies for transportation, offer childcare, offer different activities/services as people's tastes are different, do not make assumptions on what people need/want. • Transportation to get to locations is a burden. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needing to be more intentional vs. letting develop naturally as it would stay status quo vs. improve. |

Resources

The group discussion question about resources asked about the resources (time, money) in each sector to address inclusion, and looking forward, what each sector can do to access resources.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 7. Focus group comments about resources

| | |
|---------------|---|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Backpack program. • Kit for couch surfers/homeless kids. • School store with clothing. • District in Sleepy Eye doesn't chart for milk. • Liaison at Sleepy Eye. • Crisis nursery in New Ulm. • Free pre-school program for qualified (scholarships). • Applied for grants — received \$ for inclusion work (FFA in Sleepy Eye). • Homeless program in New Ulm. |
|---------------|---|



| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to keep applying for grants. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater Minnesota forgotten, metro-centric. • Need to broaden scope and outreach. |
| Business community | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have the business community cooperate for ESL. • Inclusion should include multiple languages from businesses. • Barrier for disabled people in downtown businesses. • Availability of transportation for low-income people is lacking. • Need to include child care at events and businesses. • Need for financial education in Spanish. • Make awareness of language translator availability. |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • United Way funds used to help youth, ECFE fees little to no cost. • Paid liaison staff person in Sleepy Eye. • Food bags on Fridays. • Clothing boutique — clothes, personal care products. • Free milk program. • Preschool scholarships. • Sought grants for money for birthday party packs. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Ulm has more information resources. • Schools have more resources because they have a strong incentive to do this work. Churches don't have the same incentive. • Programs for ethnic meals. • Thrivent 250 team cards are a resource. • Immersion trips to learn to know/respect other cultures. |
| Law enforcement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It wasn't a surprise to anyone that the law enforcement in both communities was composed of white males. The law enforcement representatives see that issue and would like to have more diversity on their police force. However, they suggested that two issues were barriers to this: 1.) the private cost of law enforcement training and licensing, 2.) the more general labor shortages in rural Minnesota. One comment was: "we would like to hire someone other than a white male, but that's who has applied for our openings." |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have limited people to get their regular work done — outreach is above and beyond our regular work and it takes extra time. • We need to leverage community spaces more. |



| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Human Rights Commission (New Ulm) budget is increasing each year. • Be intentional about recognizing groups — assign liaisons — make it part of people’s job description. • Have a “teach to fish” mentality rather than just addressing the situation of the moment. • Do we really know what populations of color we have? |
|--|---|

The assessment had three statements to measure resources:

- [Sector] accesses resources from inside as well as outside the community to support inclusion efforts.
- There are strategic or action plans in place to address inclusion in [sector].
- The [sector] budget commits financial resources to the values of inclusion.

Participants responded to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 3 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. Four of the sectors reported a moderate level of resources to address inclusion. Respondents felt that resources for addressing inclusion were relatively scarce in local government.

Figure 3. Resources to address inclusion

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 8 lists the comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about resources in each sector of the community. There were no comments about resources in the health care system or law enforcement.

Table 8. Survey comments about resources

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Health care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Just has not heard an issue. |
| Religious organization | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think many/most religious institutions in Brown County don't invest limited resources in this regard, simply because our county tends to be extremely homogeneous in a cultural sense. |
| Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "Inclusion" of people who are white, of European ancestry, and are Christian? 100%! "Inclusion" of people who are not those things? Not so much. Though precious-few immigrants, non-white individuals, non-Christians would ever seem to consider a community such as Springfield as a place to call "home" for their families. Their businesses. • Multiple businesses budgets resources to elderly, children, and some income classes. |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need help finding more resources. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dedicated facilitators, space, needing to utilize and leverage more frequently. With intent/follow through. |

Leadership energy

The group discussion question for leadership energy asked about leadership in each sector, the role of leadership in promoting inclusion, and looking forward, what each sector can do to strengthen inclusive leadership.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 9. Focus group comments about leadership energy

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Ulm staff very involved with the conversation on race (Bukata). • Complete the World's Best Workforce. • If you can hire diverse individuals for staff positions. • Provide leadership to community about the value of diversity and inclusion. • Not a lot of tools, resources, energy coming from community for inclusion. • Without conflicts in school, the issues of diversity and inclusion doesn't come up. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Major lack of diversity in leadership, on boards, etc. |



| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to bring in new ideas. • One member said clergy don't talk about it, but individuals want inclusion. • Sudanese sign in their language and help write songs in their melodies. • Church sister went to Kenya where they swapped houses |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New energy is needed — many leaders are comfortable with their work and they might not have confidence to move outside their comfort zone • Many older people want the community of the 1950s back and can't cope with change. This isn't really true about our community leaders though. • Hard to get people to understand the difference between equality and equity. • Really need change beyond individuals, need a change in guiding organizational culture |

The assessment had three statements to measure leadership energy:

- [Sector] leaders understand the importance of inclusion in [sector].
- [Sector] leaders are willing to look outside of [sector] for new ideas and ways to promote inclusion and equity.
- [Sector] leaders encourage the development and support of future leaders of color.

Participants responded to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 4 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. Several sectors were reported to have moderate amounts of leadership energy to address inclusion. The business community was perceived as having the least leadership energy to promote inclusion.



Figure 4. Leadership energy to promote inclusion

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 10 lists the comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about leadership energy in each sector of the community.

Table 10. Survey comments about leadership energy

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Health care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It's hard to encourage when you aren't aware of or if there are leaders of color in the area (in Springfield). |
| Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If you're not "born and raised," it can be tough. If you're white, Christian, straight, speak English as your primary language? Assimilation into the community is not overly difficult. But if you don't "check any of those boxes?" Life in our community can be a LOT more difficult! A lot more lonely. |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to have leaders be aware of intentionally being more indecisive, raise consciousness of leaders, leaders need training. Same leaders on all boards. |

Sharing power

The group discussion question for sharing power asked about efforts to include all residents in planning and decision making in each sector, and what each sector can do to ensure that all residents are included in planning and decision making.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 11. Focus group comments about sharing power

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents allowed to be involved with various committees • Trust with school liaison • School Board Advisory committee • Strategic planning effort • New Ulm struggles with getting any diversity involved. Lacks diversity period. • Lots of surveys asking parents for feedback. • School board representative person of color • In New Ulm, provide staff member who could make the connections with people of color. • Potentially ask for ethnic/race classification on surveys to know if we are getting input. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Always the same folks at the table — how do we broaden the net? • Need for more focused outreach. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include many houses of faith into activities. • The churches need to personally invite. They need to listen instead of talking. • People are afraid of losing control. The congregation is feeling the call, provides for needs and are welcoming but not necessarily the clergy. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For public hearings, getting word out is huge. • Getting real input means slowing things down, but it is better to slow things down. • Many people don't communicate or engage — a silent majority — and you can't assume you know what they are thinking. • People don't want to talk or speak up, but they might respond to text messages or email. • Media is important, but not everybody gets the newspaper, so other outlets are important. • Nixle — text messaging service is great, but have to be careful about overuse. |

The assessment had three statements to measure sharing power:

- [Sector] has authentic relationships with organizations representing people of color to provide input into programs and advocacy.
- The leadership of [sector] reflects the demographics of the broader community.



- [Sector] actively creates opportunities for immigrants or people of color to become decision makers.

Participants were asked to respond to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 5 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. Non-profit and religious organizations were reported to have moderate capacity to share power. For the remaining sectors, there was a perception that sharing power in planning and decision making was relatively weak.

Figure 5. Sharing power in planning and decision making

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 12 lists the comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about sharing power in each sector of the community. There were no comments about resources in the health care system or school system.

Table 12. Survey comments about sharing power

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Health care | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We are willing to accept all cultures. |
| Religious organization | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "To a fault," as our community is very homogeneous. |
| Business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Very, very few people of color live in our community And the individuals that do? Tend to lead almost invisible lives, as it comes to leadership or even participation in groups such as our Chamber, local government, etc. • What does it mean to have residents be included in business community planning? |
| Non-profit organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask residents, talk to them, surveys. Be more conscious and |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>intentional in asking. Make things equitable, not just equal. Spread out foundation base.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We do yearly survey or drop box with suggestion/issues. |
|--|--|

Policies and practices

The group discussion question about policies and practices asked about policies or practices in each sector that help or hinder inclusion efforts, and what each sector can do to make policies and practices more inclusive.

In response to this question, focus group participants shared the following thoughts:

Table 13. Focus group comments about policies and practices

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| School system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy comes from MN Department of Education and state school board. • New Ulm has three different school systems, one public and two private. |
| Health care system | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workforce shortage limiting inclusion efforts. |
| Business community | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for the sharing of policies between businesses involving diversity and inclusion. • Have policy options for floating holidays and leaves of absence. |
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It has gotten better because now women are more involved. • Policies hinder inclusion • Dream: churches work with EDA to bring in potential hires and have them live in their homes. • Don't want inclusion to be a means of compromise. |
| Law enforcement | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some of the discussion involved answering questions for the new resident about when it's appropriate to argue being pulled over. • Another topic was that law enforcement doesn't get to choose which calls to answer. Meaning law enforcement officers encounter people in less than ideal situations frequently. • Coffee with Cops is a program that's been going on in New Ulm. If a person calls and requests it, a police officer will bring coffee to their location and visit with them. Sleepy Eye was interested in doing something similar. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How do we work equity into street cart policy. |



| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hoops of business licensure — bureaucracy is hard for everyone, but especially hard for people who weren't born and raised here. • Oktoberfest — it was opened up to Food Trucks — had more options than the German plate! • Concern that inclusion/equity work would be perceived as partisan. National politics and divides have crept in to local politics. • There is a huge need for bilingual people in local government — we've learned that many immigrants did not have a GED or diploma which prevented them from applying to government jobs where this was a requirement. Some counties are removing this requirement for health workers. |
|--|--|

The assessment had three statements to measure policies and practices:

- [Sector] uses an equity lens or inclusion-related questions when developing policies and practices.
- [Sector] has benchmarks around leadership development and retention of people of color.
- [Sector] regularly assesses the impact of its policies or practices on immigrants or people of color.

Participants responded to each statement using a scale of 1=not at all, 2=to a slight extent, 3=to a moderate extent, and 4=to a great extent.

Figure 6 displays the results for each sector in Springfield. Several sectors were reported to have a slight to moderate level of policies and practices to promote inclusion. For the remaining sectors, there was a perception that policies and practices were not addressing inclusion as strongly.

Figure 6. Policies and practices to promote inclusion

Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent




Table 14 lists the comments made by individual survey respondents from Springfield about sharing power in each sector of the community.

Table 14. Survey comments about policies and practices

| | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Religious organizations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I wanted to answer "not at all," but I assume at least a few organizations do this that I don't know are doing it. This isn't being done at all, to the best of my knowledge. |
| Local government | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policies and procedures can make board members be inclusive. Raise consciousness, be intentional, term limits. |

DISCUSSION

Table 15 provides an overview of Springfield’s progress across sectors and dimensions of inclusion based on the numeric responses from the assessment survey. Green cells indicate dimensions in which the sector is making moderate progress on inclusion. Light green and yellow cells indicate slight to moderate progress and the red cells indicate no to slight progress.

It is useful to read the table both across and down the rows. Reading across, the table shows the progress made in each dimension of inclusion for a specific sector of the community. Several sectors — health care, non-profits, religious organizations, and the schools — appear to be on the leading edge of inclusion efforts but have made less progress in sharing power or policies and practices. The remaining sectors have made slight progress in some dimensions of inclusion, but not consistently across all dimensions.



Reading down the table provides insight into which sectors of the community are leading or lagging in particular dimensions of inclusion. All sectors of the community are at least slightly aware of the importance of inclusion. Three of the community’s sectors — law enforcement, business, and local government — are lagging in many of the other dimensions, especially in sharing power and in policies and practices to promote inclusion.

Table 15. Inclusion progress for each sector and dimension


| | Awareness | Engagement | Resources | Leadership energy | Sharing power | Policies and practices |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Health care system | 3.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Non-profit organizations | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.5 |
| Religious organizations | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 |
| School system | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| Law enforcement | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Business or Chamber | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Local government | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 |

Legend:

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Moderate progress (3.0 or higher) | Slight to moderate progress (2.5 to 2.9) | Slight progress (2.0 to 2.4) | No to slight progress (1.9 or lower) |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|

It is also important to note if there were differences in responses based on the respondents’ race or ethnicity. Across all three communities and sectors, 178 respondents reported their race or ethnicity. One hundred sixty responses were from white people and 18 were from people of color. Figure 7 compares the average responses of whites and people of color regarding the six dimensions of inclusion. While averages were higher for whites than those of color, this difference only reached statistical significance for leadership energy and sharing power. This is likely because of the relatively small number of responses from people of color. Given this assumption, it is worth noting that perceptions of inclusion among people of color were not as positive as those of white respondents when considering the overall findings from Springfield.

Figure 7. Comparison of responses from white respondents and respondents of color
 Average responses on a scale of 1=not at all, 2=slight extent, 3=moderate extent, 4=great extent



This assessment is intended to encourage discussion about strategies for moving forward with inclusion efforts in Springfield. Below are a few discussion questions to think about to guide the conversation.

- What surprises you in this report, and why?
- What’s missing in this assessment of inclusion in Springfield?
- What are you noticing with regard to your strengths as a community?
- What areas need improvement?
- Where do you think you can make the biggest impact in inclusion efforts?

WORKING DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Below are our working definitions of terms shared during the Welcoming Communities Assessment.

Inclusion. Creating spaces to grow as individuals, sectors, and community through sharing, learning, collaboration and action to unite people and remove barriers to equal opportunity and responsibility in community and life. While our focus for this project is on inclusion based on race or immigrant status, we are not exclusively focusing on race, because we know that race intersects with other ways of excluding based on gender, disability status, income/class, religion, or sexual preference/identity.

Equality. Treating everyone the same. Seems like a great idea, but it only works if everyone started out at the same place.

Equity. Ensuring that everyone has what they need to be successful -- that there are policies, practices, and procedures in place to promote equitable outcomes.

Racism. We aren't just talking about individual acts of bigotry, we are talking about policies and practices that allow inequity. Sometimes we aren't aware that policies or practices can promote inequity.

QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS

Please send a message to Scott Chazdon, evaluation and research specialist, at schazdon@umn.edu.

